SHIFT I (Staff Appointed after June 2012)

JURY EVALUATION

S. No	DEPARTMENT	NAME
01	COMMERCE	DR,C, MAHIMAI ARUL IGNATIUS
02	COMMERCE	MS. JEYA ANI
V83	TAMIL	DR. S. RAVI JESURAJ
24	TAMIL	DR. V. RILBERT JENARTHANAN
08	TAMIL	DR.M. KAVITHA
108	TAMIL	DR. R. ANTONYRAJ
LOT	FOLKLORE	MR.M. PETER AROCKIARAJ
108	FOLKLORE	DR. J. JOSEPH ANTONYRAJ
LUG	ENGLISH	MRS. B. SOUNDARY
10	ENGLISH	MR. TWIN ANTONY EDWARD
W	ECONOMICS	MR. C. BOOPATHI RAJ
12	ECONOMICS	DR. A.P. RAMABAI
9 13	PHYSICS	DR. DAVID RATHINAVELU
V	PHYSICS	DR. V. SIVA SANKAR
15	CHEMISTRY	MR. JEYA VINSE RUBAN
16	CHEMISTRY	DR. M. BABY MARIYATRA
17	ZOOLOGY	DR. P. SELVARAJ
18	ZOOLOGY	MRS. J. BABILA JASMINE
19	ZOOLOGY	DR.T. PUSHPANATHAN
20	ZOOLOGY	DR. P. RAJA
21	ZOOLOGY	DR. Y. ANANTH KUMAR
122	BOTANY	DR. T. LEON STEPHEN RAJ
23	MATHEMATICS	DR. Y. THERESE SUNITHA MARY
24	MATHEMATICS	MS. S. NITHYA
25	MATHEMATICS	MR. S. SUJITH 🗸
28	MATHEMATICS	MR. S. BALAMURUGAN



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated: It. Vari	ny.5.
Department: Tamil	

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication	/				
2	Clarity		/			
3	Teaching Methodology	-		/		
4	Future vision for students	/				
5	Contribution to the college	/			-	

Date: Signature



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Dr. V. Rilbert Tenasthanan
Department: Tamil.	

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication	/				
2	Clarity		/			
3	Teaching Methodology	-		/		
4	Future vision for students		/			
5	Contribution to the college	/				

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Dr. M. Kavitte
------------------------------	----------------

Department: Tamil

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		/			
2	Clarity		/			
3	Teaching Methodology	72		/		
4	Future vision for students			/		
5	Contribution to the college		/			

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Dr.	R.	Antonya	i
------------------------------	-----	----	---------	---

Department: Tamil.

Parameters	5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication		/			
Clarity		/			
Teaching Methodology	til		/		
Future vision for students		/			
Contribution to the college	/			7	
	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Mr. M. Peter	Surckianaj
------------------------------	--------------	------------

Department: Folklore

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		/			
2	Clarity		/			
3	Teaching Methodology	/				
4	Future vision for students		/			
5	Contribution to the college	/			,-	

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated: Dr. Jose	ph Andongraj
---------------------------------------	--------------

Department: Folklore

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication			/		
Clarity			~		
Teaching Methodology	77.		/		
Future vision for students					
Contribution to the college		~		\$3	
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Dr. Lean	Stephen	Raj. T.
------------------------------	----------	---------	---------

Department: Betany

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	No points
Communication			/		
Clarity			/		
Teaching Methodology	-	~			
Future vision for students		/			
Contribution to the college		/		1	
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

ny

Department: English

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor No points
1	Communication	/			-	
2	Clarity	V				
3	Teaching Methodology	/				
4	Future vision for students		/			
5	Contribution to the college		/		ar:	

Date:

Signature Signature



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Mr. Twin Antony Edward
Department: English	

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor No points
Communication	~				
Clarity	~				
Teaching Methodology	19	/			
Future vision for students	/				
Contribution to the college		/		8	
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Clarity Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date:

Signature Signature



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	r. V. Livas ankar	-
------------------------------	-------------------	---

Department: Physics.

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication		V			
Clarity		V			
Teaching Methodology	/				
Future vision for students	/				
Contribution to the college	/			8	
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Dr. 47 C.	Boopethi	Ray
------------------------------	-----------	----------	-----

Department: Economics

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		/		61	
2	Clarity		/			
3	Teaching Methodology	/				
4	Future vision for students	/				
5	Contribution to the college		/		÷	

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Dr. A. P. Ramabai
------------------------------	-------------------

Department: Economics.

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication			~		
Clarity			/		
Teaching Methodology		/			
Future vision for students		/			
Contribution to the college		/		y.	
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Mr. S.	Balamurug	an
------------------------------	--------	-----------	----

Department: Mathematics

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication		V			
Clarity	V				
Teaching Methodology	/				
Future vision for students	~				
Contribution to the college				80	
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	DR	. 17.	Balon	Marigatra
------------------------------	----	-------	-------	-----------

Department: Chemistry

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor No points
Communication	/	-			
Clarity	/				
Teaching Methodology	/				
Future vision for students	/				
Contribution to the college	/			25.	
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated: Mr. Jaya Vince Ruban

Department: Chemistry

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication			/		
2	Clarity			1		
3	Teaching Methodology		/			
4	Future vision for students	/				
5	Contribution to the college		/			

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Dr. 4.	Therese	Barithe	Mary
Department: Mathema	tics.			

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication			/	-	
2	Clarity			/		
3	Teaching Methodology	- 0	/			
4	Future vision for students		/			
5	Contribution to the college		/		6	

	A GO
Date:	Signature
Date.	



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Ms. S. Nitheya
------------------------------	----------------

Department: Mathematics

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication			/		
Clarity			/		
Teaching Methodology	/				
Future vision for students	/				
Contribution to the college	V				
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	M+.	S.	Sujets
------------------------------	-----	----	--------

Department: Mathematics

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication			V		
Clarity			/		
Teaching Methodology	/				
Future vision for students	/				
Contribution to the college		/		8	
	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Dr. F	. Sel	varaj
------------------------------	-------	-------	-------

Department: Zoologg

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication	/				
Clarity	/				
Teaching Methodology	/				
Future vision for students	/				
Contribution to the college	/			**	
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Y, 1	? Raja
	Y . 1

Department: Zoologay

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		/			
2	Clarity	1	/			
3	Teaching Methodology	1				
4	Future vision for students	/				
5	Contribution to the college		/		¥	

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the S	staff evaluated:	Mrs.	J. Babila	Tarmine	
Department:	Loologze				

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor No points
Communication		/			
Clarity			/		
Teaching Methodology	_ 1/	/			
Future vision for students		/			
Contribution to the college		/		#01	
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Dr	T.	Parch	panath.	-
Name of the Staff evaluated.	~		Itash	1	banals.

Department: Toology.

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication			/		
Clarity			/		
Teaching Methodology	10	/			
Future vision for students		/			
Contribution to the college		/			
	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the St	aff evaluated:	Dr. Y. Anands	Kumar
Department:	Zoologe	ę.	

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication			/		
Clarity			V		
Teaching Methodology	A.		/		
Future vision for students		/			
Contribution to the college		/		2	
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date:



Department: Commerce.

ST. XAVIER'S COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) PALAYAMKOTTAI

Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated: Dr. C. Making And Ignatius

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		/			
2	Clarity		/			
3	Teaching Methodology	V				
4	Future vision for students	/				
5	Contribution to the college	/			40	

Date:



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated: Mrs. Jeya Ani

D	Department: (2				
lo.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor No points
1	Communication	/				
2	Clarity	. /				
3	Teaching Methodology	1				

Signature

Date:

Future vision for students

Contribution to the college



Department:

Date:

Name of the Staff evaluated:

ST. XAVIER'S COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) PALAYAMKOTTAI

Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication					
2	Clarity			-		
3	Teaching Methodology	6				
4	Future vision for students					
5	Contribution to the college				D.	



Department:

Date:

Name of the Staff evaluated:

ST. XAVIER'S COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) PALAYAMKOTTAI

Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

No.	Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor
		5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	No points
1	Communication					
2	Clarity			-		
3	Teaching Methodology					
4	Future vision for students					
5	Contribution to the college				9	



Department:

Date:

Name of the Staff evaluated:

ST. XAVIER'S COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) PALAYAMKOTTAI

Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication					
2	Clarity					
3	Teaching Methodology					
4	Future vision for students					
5	Contribution to the college				**	



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Da.	Rilbert	Javan Mahan.
------------------------------	-----	---------	--------------

Department: Tamil.

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication				1	
2	Clarity		~			
3	Teaching Methodology			1		
4	Future vision for students		/			
5	Contribution to the college	/			7	



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	DR.	m.	Kairtha.
------------------------------	-----	----	----------

Department:

Tamil.

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication			1		
Clarity			~		
Teaching Methodology	- 12		1		
Future vision for students			1		
Contribution to the college			/	*	
	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date: 8/12/2014

SHIFT I (Staff Appointed after June 2012) Controller of From

JURY EVALUATION

S. No	DEPARTMENT	NAME
01	BOTANY	REV.DR. L.JOHN PETER ARULANANDAM S.J. X
02	BOTANY	DR. T. LEON STEPHEN RAJ
03	CHEMISTRY	MR. JEYA VINSE RUBAN
04	CHEMISTRY	DR. M. BABY MARIYATRA
05	COMMERCE	DR.C. MAHIMAI ARUL IGNATIUS
06	COMMERCE	DR. J. JEYA ANI
07	ECONOMICS	MR. C. BOOPATHI RAJ
08	ECONOMICS	DR. A.P. RAMABAI
09	ENGLISH	MRS. B. SOUNDARY
10	ENGLISH	MR. TWIN ANTONY EDWARD
11	FOLKLORE	MR. M. PETER AROCKIARAJ
12	FOLKLORE	DR. J. JOSEPH ANTONYRAJ
13	MATHEMATICS	DR. Y. THERESE SUNITHA MARY
14	MATHEMATICS	MS. S. NITHYA
15	MATHEMATICS	MR. S. SUJITH
16	MATHEMATICS	MR. S. BALAMURUGAN
17	PHYSICS	DR. DAVID RATHINAVELU
18	PHYSICS	DR. V. SIVA SANKAR
19	TAMIL	DR. S. RAVI JESURAJ
20	TAMIL	DR. V. RILBERT JENARTHANAN
21	TAMIL	DR. M. KAVITHA
22	TAMIL	DR. R. ANTONYRAJ
23	ZOOLOGY	DR. P. SELVARAJ
24	ZOOLOGY	MRS. J. BABILA JASMINE
25	ZOOLOGY	DR.T. PUSHPANATHAN
26	ZOOLOGY	DR. P. RAJA
27	ZOOLOGY	DR. Y. ANANTH KUMAR

BECRETARY AXVIENS COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) PALAYAMKOTTAI



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	DR.	R.	donthony R	aj

Department: Tamil.

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication			~		
2	Clarity				5	
3	Teaching Methodology			/		
4	Future vision for students				/	
5	Contribution to the college			/	9	

8/1/2014.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	DR.	Rairi	Jeen	Ke
------------------------------	-----	-------	------	----

Department: Tamil.

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		V			
2	Clarity		1			
3	Teaching Methodology	. ¥9-		1		
4	Future vision for students		/			
5	Contribution to the college		/		Đi.	

Date: 8/12/2013.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	m.	Peter	Arodriano	F
------------------------------	----	-------	-----------	---

Department: Folklere.

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication	/				
2	Clarity		1			
3	Teaching Methodology			~		
4	Future vision for students			1		
5	Contribution to the college			1		

Date: 8/1/2014.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name	of the Staff evaluate	d: UR Le	come &	Tephen	Rej	
Depar	rtment: Bok	my.				
to the	×					Van. De
0.	Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Very Po

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication		1		X	
Clarity		/			
Teaching Methodology	8)	/			
Future vision for students			1		
Contribution to the college			1	*	
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date: 8/1/2014.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated: De	Joseph	Antony	ay
---------------------------------	--------	--------	----

Department: Folklova.

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		1			
2	Clarity			~		
3	Teaching Methodology			~		
4	Future vision for students			1		
5	Contribution to the college			1	ar ar	

Date: 8/1/2614.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Mrs.	B.	Soundary.
	CX XE		

Department:

English.

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication					
2	Clarity		V			
3	Teaching Methodology	V				
4	Future vision for students		1			
5	Contribution to the college		1		81	

Date: 8/1/2014.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the State	ff evaluated:	Twai	Indony	Edward	
Department:	English.				

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		1			
2	Clarity			1		
3	Teaching Methodology	-		1		
4	Future vision for students				~	
5	Contribution to the college			1.	10.00	

Date: 8/1/2014.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	DR. W.	Sivavankav
------------------------------	--------	------------

Department:

Physics.

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor No points
Communication		/			
Clarity		~			
Teaching Methodology	.0.	1			
Future vision for students			1		
Contribution to the college			/	*	
	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date: 8/1/2014.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	0.	Boopeni Raj
------------------------------	----	-------------

Department:

Economics.

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication		1			
Clarity		/			
Teaching Methodology		/			
Future vision for students			1		
Contribution to the college			/	25"	
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date: 8/1/2014.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	DR.	A-P.	Rama	aai
------------------------------	-----	------	------	-----

Department:

Economics.

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication			V		
2	Clarity			~		
3	Teaching Methodology	4.	/			
4	Future vision for students		1			
5	Contribution to the college	-	/			

Date: 8 1 2013.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	8.	Balamwing an.
------------------------------	----	---------------

Department:

Ma Memotics.

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor No points
1	Communication		1			
2	Clarity			~		
3	Teaching Methodology	-				
4	Future vision for students		1			
5	Contribution to the college			~	8	

Date: 8/1 2014.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Stat	ff evaluated: DR	. Baby Mariyakra	
Department:	Chemiotry		

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor No points
1	Communication		/			
2	Clarity		/			
3	Teaching Methodology	3	J			
4	Future vision for students			/		
5	Contribution to the college			1	*	

Date: 9/1/2014.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Sta	ff evaluated:	Jeya	Vuise	Ruban.	
Department:	Chemis	tory			

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		~		-	
2	Clarity			1		
3	Teaching Methodology	-		1		
4	Future vision for students			1		
5	Contribution to the college			1	8	

Date: 9.1.204



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evalu	iated:	DR.	У.	Theresi	Sunitha	Hary.
Department:	Mat	temo	die	e .		

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication			~		
Clarity			~		
Teaching Methodology		1			
Future vision for students			1		
Contribution to the college				~	
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Teaching Methodology Future vision for students 5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points 7 1 points 4 points 4 points 7 7 8 points 7 8 points 9 points 1 points 2 points 2 points 1 points 2 points 2 points 2 points

Date: 9/1/2014.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staf	f evaluated:	S. 1
Name of the Star	i evaluateu.	O

Mathematics. Department:

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication				V	
Clarity				V	
Teaching Methodology			1		
Future vision for students			~		
Contribution to the college			5	×.	
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date: 9 1 2014



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluate	ed: S	. Sugith
Department:	Math	ematics.

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication		~		-	
Clarity		✓		1	
Teaching Methodology	- 12		V		
Future vision for students			√		
Contribution to the college			1		
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date: 9 1 2014.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	DR. P.	Samraj.
	N. and	

Department:	Zoology.
	0

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		~			
2	Clarity		✓			
3	Teaching Methodology	7.	1			
4	Future vision for students			1		
5	Contribution to the college			1	95	

Date: 9/1/204



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	DR.	6	Raya
------------------------------	-----	---	------

Department:

Goology.

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		~			
2	Clarity		~			
3	Teaching Methodology	. =	1			
4	Future vision for students			~		
5	Contribution to the college			1	6	

Date: 9/1/2014.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff eva	luated: Mrs. Babila	Jusnine
Department:	Zoology.	

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		~			
2	Clarity			~		
3	Teaching Methodology	*	1			
4	Future vision for students			/		
5	Contribution to the college		V		et.	

Date: 9. 1 2004.



Department:

ST. XAVIER'S COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) PALAYAMKOTTAI

Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated: DR. T. Phohpanaham.

Boology.

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication			5		
2	Clarity	To the second se		~		
3	Teaching Methodology			1		4
4	Future vision for students			1		
5	Contribution to the college			/	8	

Date: 9 1 2014.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	DR. 4.	Anautha Kimanos

Department:

Zoology

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication			~		
2	Clarity			5		
3	Teaching Methodology	11:	V			
4	Future vision for students		~			
5	Contribution to the college		5			

Date: 9 1 2014.



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff	evaluated: DR. C. Mahimai trul gratisus.
Department:	Commerce.
The state of the s	

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		1			
2	Clarity			1		
3	Teaching Methodology	85		/		
4	Future vision for students		1			
5	Contribution to the college		\		*	

Date: 9. 1. 2014



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff ev	aluated: Jaya Ami	*
Department:	Commerce.	

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication		/			
Clarity			√		
Teaching Methodology			1		
Future vision for students			1		
Contribution to the college			1	×	
	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	5 points 4 points 3 points 2 points Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date: 9. 1. 2014



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Da. Y.	Anauth	Kumai.
Department:	Iss	low	

Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
Communication			V		
Clarity			~		
Teaching Methodology			/		
Future vision for students		1			
Contribution to the college			V		
	Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students	Communication Clarity Teaching Methodology Future vision for students

Date: 9/1/14



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Dr.	C.	Mahimai	Arul	Ignatus.
Department:		Co	mmerre.		

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		/			
2	Clarity		1			
3	Teaching Methodology	7.	/			
4	Future vision for students		1			
5	Contribution to the college		/			

Date: 9 1/14.

Battulmanich Signature



Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

Name of the Staff evaluated:	Ms-	Jeya	Ani	
------------------------------	-----	------	-----	--

Department:

Commerce.

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication		V			
2	Clarity		V			
3	Teaching Methodology	53	~			
4	Future vision for students		V			
5	Contribution to the college		V		-	

Date: 9 1 14.



Department:

Name of the Staff evaluated:

ST. XAVIER'S COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) PALAYAMKOTTAI

Performance Based Assessment: for Faculty Members (AIDED)

JURY EVALUATION

No.	Parameters	Excellent 5 points	Good 4 points	Average 3 points	Poor 2 points	Very Poor
1	Communication	5 points	4 points	o points		
2	Clarity			7		
3	Teaching Methodology					
4	Future vision for students					
5	Contribution to the college					

Date: Signature